



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3361
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of high energy diet supplementation during periparturient period on ovarian steroids and reproductive performance in Vrindavani cows
Type of the Article	RESEARCH

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘lack of Novelty’, provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>)



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No</p>	<p>Related to this study not much references are available</p>
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p>		



<p>Optional comments</p>	<p>The results of the statistical analyzes should be expressed more clearly.</p> <p>It should be stated more clearly at which times there is a difference in the results of analysis of variance in repeated measurements.</p> <p>Additionally, differences between groups and times can be made together with two repeated measures ANOVA. Group*time interaction can also be controlled.</p> <p>In the independent sample t test, the results in the table should be more descriptive.</p> <p>Kaplan-Meier survival analysis is not mentioned at all.</p> <p>Acceptable after major revisions.</p>	<p>Mentioned in the manuscript</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Modification was done</p> <p>Incorporated in the result</p>
---------------------------------	--	---

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	